

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,036	09/28/2001	Dorrie M. Нарр	50623.132	4580	
7:	590 09/16/2002				
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. Suite 300 One Maritime Plaza			EXAMINER		
			FUBARA, BLESSING M		
San Francisco,	CA 94111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615	2	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/16/2002	フ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/966,036 HAPP, DORRIE M.		4				
	Office Action Summary			Art Unit	/i.			
	· ·	Examiner M	Fuhara	1615				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Blessing M.			dress			
Period fo								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verous to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event ly within the statuto will apply and will e a. cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from this to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1) 📙	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	,—	nis action is n			., .			
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowatelosed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims				e merits is			
·	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application	n.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		ideration.		•			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>4-10 and 15-24</u> is/are objected to.				,			
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	or election req	uirement.					
•	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	pted or b)☐ o	ojected to by the Exar	niner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) <u></u> app	roved b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		e action.					
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	ts have been	received in Application	on No				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen		• •	-					
2) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5		(PTO-413) Paper Nor Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/966,036

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt of IDS filed 02/04/02.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2-4 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 2-4 do not further limit the coating composition claim from which they depend.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kanikanti et al. (US 5,900,425).

Kanikanti teaches solid dosage composition comprising light sensitive active agents and a light protective coating (column 4, lines 7-14). Claims 1-3 of the application are directed to a coating comprising a drug polymer layer and a light protective compound in said coating. In a composition claim, future intended use is not critical. Claims 2 and 3 do not further limit the coating of claim 1. Thus, Kanikanti anticipates the claims.

4. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sarkis et al. (US 5,921,933).

Art Unit: 1615

Sarkis teaches medical devices such as catheters, biopsy needles, electrode leads, **stents**, dilators and cannulae and these materials are fabricated by coating said devices with a mixture comprising reflective particles and powdered thermoplastic polymer and where iron oxide, titanium oxide and zinc oxide are the reflective materials (column 1, lines 37-52). The teachings of Sarkis meet the limitations of the claims. The coating composition of Sarkis does not have pharmaceuticals or drugs.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanikanti et al. (US 5,900,425) in view of Sinclair et al. (US 5,760,118).

Kanikanti clearly teaches the coating composition of the application except that Kanikanti does not teach the light protective compounds recited in instant claim 11. However, Sinclair teaches that carbon black, zinc oxide and substituted benzophenones are UV-light absorbers which when added to a composition make the composition more resistant to degradation by ultraviolet radiation (column 31, line 67 to column 32 line 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the UV-light absorbers of Sinclair in the composition of Kanikanti since Sinclair teaches that UV-light absorbers provide protection against degradation

Art Unit: 1615

by ultraviolet radiation. The expected result is a solid dosage composition comprising light sensitive active agents and a light protective coating where said light protective coating contains UV-light absorbers selected from carbon black, zinc oxide and substituted benzophenones.

7. Claims 5-10, 15-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification including the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is 703-308-8374. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. (Monday to Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Blessing Fubara September 12, 2002 THURMAN K PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY DENTER 1600